



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 813 of 2026

- 1 - Manohar Patel S/o Santosh Patel Aged About 26 Years R/o Gurkhapali, Dist.- Sakti C.G.**

- 2 - Vivek Dubey S/o Damodar Dubey Aged About 24 Years R/o Takhatpur, Dist.- Bilaspur C.G.**

- 3 - Mritunjay Shrivastava S/o Santosh Shrivastava Aged About 27 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Near Mata Chowk, Sarridih, Dist.- Bilaspur C.G.**

- 4 - Kameshwar Prasad S/o Chunnu Ram Aged About 21 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Near Mata Chowk, Sarridih, Dist.- Bilaspur C.G.**

- 5 - Gajraj Patel S/o Dolnarayan Patel Aged About 29 Years R/o Ranisagar, Karsiya, District Raigarh C.G.**

- 6 - Ajayt Kumar Korale S/o Yukut Ram Aged About 27 Years R/o Nipaniya, Hirri, District Bilaspur C.G.**

- 7 - Jitesh Baghel S/o Rakesh Baghel Aged About 28 Years R/o Tikrapara, District Bilaspur C.G.**

- 8 - Ashwini Kumar Yadav S/o Sudan Lal Aged About 29 Years R/o Lapti, Navadih, Lormi, District Mungeli C.G.**

9 - Ishan, S/o Ashok Aged About 31 Years Mahamaya Para, Devgaon, District Mungeli C.G.

... Petitioners

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Home Department, Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, District Raipur C.G.

2 - Under Secretary, Home Department, Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, District Raipur C.G.

3 - Director General Of Police, Chhattisgarh, Head Quarter Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur C.G.

4 - Timing Technologies India Private Limited, Through Its Director, Office At 3rd Floor West Wing, Block- 1 My Home Hub, Madhapur, Hyderabad.

5 - Vilash, Through The Secretary, Home Department, Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, District Raipur C.G.

6 - Shivratan, Through The Secretary, Home Department, Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, District Raipur C.G.

7 - Jaganath Through The Secretary, Home Department, Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, District Raipur C.G.

8 - Vishal Kumar, Through The Secretary, Home Department, Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, District Raipur C.G.

... Respondents

Order on Board

--	--

27/01/2026	<p>Mr. Mateen Siddiqui, Advocate for the Petitioners.</p> <p>Mr. Vinay Pandey, Dy. Advocate General for the Respondents No. 1 to 3/State.</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents.</p> <p>Mr. Vinay Pandey, Dy. Advocate General accepts notice on behalf of the Respondents No. 1 to 3/State. Hence, no process fee is required to be paid for these respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice to the Respondents No. 4 to 8 on payment of process fee, as per rules. Notice be made returnable within 03 weeks.</p> <p>Also heard on I.A. No. 01/2026 which is an application for grant of interim relief.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that based on the complaint made by the candidates, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur and the President of the Constable Selection Committee, Centre No. 1, Bilaspur has written a letter to the Additional Director General of Police (Administration), Police Headquarters, Raipur on 19.12.2024 (Annexure P/8) informing the irregularities found by him at the time of physical eligibility test conducted in the course of recruitment proceedings for the post of Constable.</p> <p>He contended that the irregularities as mentioned in the letter 19.12.2024 (Annexure P/8) is serious and further, similar type of irregularities may have also been committed in other recruitment centres also. The advertisement issued for recruitment for the post of Constable is a centralized advertisement and proceedings of physical eligibility test is being conducted in all districts of the State by the same company which is outsourced by the Police Department.</p> <p>He further contended that according to his instructions,</p>
-------------------	---

about 2,500 appointment orders have been issued, out of the 6,000 posts to be filled up by the advertisement subject matter of writ petition and therefore, in view of the facts of the case, the Respondents be directed not to issue any further appointment orders till the decision of this case.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the Respondents/State opposes the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners and submits that the letter dated 19.12.2024 (Annexure P/8) which is relied upon by the counsel for petitioner talks of only one centre i.e. Centre No. 1 at Bilaspur. He further submits that he will file reply to the writ petition within the shortest possible time and prays for 02 weeks time.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents enclosed along with the writ petition.

On due consideration of the submission of learned counsel for the parties, facts and circumstances of the case and documents enclosed along with the writ petition as also the letter dated 19.12.2024 (Annexure P/8) which is written by the Superintendent of Police, District – Bilaspur to the Additional Director General of Police (Administration), Police Headquarters, Raipur, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that no further appointment orders shall be issued for appointment on the post of Constable pursuant to the advertisement/recruitment proceedings which is subject matter of writ petition till the next date of hearing.

List this case in the week commencing 23.02.2026.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge